Real-Time Ultrasonic Tracking of an Intraoperative Needle Tip with Integrated Fibre-Optic Hydrophone
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Abstract—We have developed a real-time ultrasound needle tracking system based on a fibre-optic hydrophone integrated into an intraoperative needle. The system is intended to track the location of a needle tip during minimally invasive surgical procedures that rely on handheld ultrasound guidance. During these procedures, clear visibility of the needle tip is essential to reach the procedure target and avoid adverse events due to erroneous needle placement. The ultrasound emitted by the handheld probe is detected by the hydrophone and used to draw a cursor marking the position of the needle tip within the B-mode ultrasound image. The tracking accuracy was assessed by comparison to manual labelling of the location of the needle tip within the ultrasound images and found to be ±2.2 mm.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Many minimally invasive surgical procedures rely on ultrasound (US) guidance for accurate insertion of the intraoperative needle, primarily in the contexts of tumour biopsy, regional anaesthesia and fetal medicine. Clear visibility of the needle tip within the US image is essential to reach the procedure target and prevent erroneous needle placement, which can cause adverse events including nerve damage and pregnancy loss [1]. Needle localisation is often difficult within high-echogenicity tissues, and visibility is dependent on the insertion angle due to specular reflection [2].

Several passive methods have been proposed to improve needle visibility, including those employing image processing algorithms [3], US beam steering [4] and modification of a needle’s surface to enhance diffuse scattering [5]. While these methods have been reported to be effective to some extent—and surface modified needles have seen success in the clinic—reliable, clear and accurate localisation of the needle tip still poses a challenge, particularly in high echogenicity tissues [6], with steep insertion angles and in heterogeneous tissues containing structures resembling needle shafts [7].

Active needle tracking methods have also been proposed, typically relying on either an electromagnetic (EM) [8] or US [9]–[12] sensor embedded within the needle tip. An externally-generated EM or US field—registered to the US imaging plane—is detected by the sensor, and the acquired signals are used to locate the position of the needle tip within the field and therefore the US image. EM methods have been shown to be sensitive to environmental field disturbances, e.g., surgical instruments [13] and provide a positional resolution of 3 mm or worse [12]. Encouragingly, it has been shown that US tracking has the potential to provide sub-millimetre resolution [12].

We have previously described US tracking methods that require the generation of an separate, external US field [14]; that provide US tracking of the needle tip using only the handheld US field [11], [12]; and that utilise a photoacoustic transmitter at the needle tip [15]. The latter two methods required control of the US pulse sequence, and therefore none were directly compatible with commercial systems.

We have now developed a real-time US needle tracking (UNT) system that can be appended to a clinical US system, requiring no external fields, only limited proprietary knowledge of the US transmission sequence and no modifications to the clinical system other than the provision of a line trigger signal. Waveforms received by a fibre-optic hydrophone (FOH) integrated into the needle tip are acquired alongside a feed of the B-mode US video from the clinical system. The location of the needle tip within each frame is determined from the waveforms and marked with a superimposed cross-hair, before the feed is displayed on an external monitor. This paper assesses the accuracy of the system by comparison to manual labelling of the location of the needle tip within the US images.

II. UNT SYSTEM

A. Hardware

The UNT system was developed as an adjunct to a GE (Zift, Austria) Voluson E10 clinical US imaging system with a ‘C1-5-D’ convex US probe. An FOH is integrated into the needle tip are acquired alongside a feed of the B-mode US video from the clinical system. The location of the needle tip within each frame is determined from the waveforms and marked with a superimposed cross-hair, before the feed is displayed on an external monitor. This paper assesses the accuracy of the system by comparison to manual labelling of the location of the needle tip within the US images.

The clinical US system was configured to operate in B-mode with a beam angle of 55° and an imaging depth of 30 cm, as this provided a simple US pulse sequence. The centre frequency of the transmitted acoustic pulses was 2.13 MHz.

The UNT system software runs on a workstation PC sitting alongside the US system. A framegrabber (DVI2PCIe Duo, Epiphan Systems, Ottawa, Canada) acquires the video feed...
from the US system’s HDMI output and transfers it to the UNT software, which superimposes a cross-hair onto the each US frame at the tracked location of the needle tip and displays the feed on the monitor with a latency of <200 ms.

The FOH system was manufactured at University College London and is described in [16]. The FOH was glued into the CVS needle cannula with its sensitive element aligned to the needle tip. A digitiser (M2p.5961-x4, Spectrum Instrumen-
tation GmbH, Großhansdorf, Germany) acquires the voltage waveforms generated by the FOH console with a sample rate of 10 MHz. Acquisitions are triggered by a signal generated by the US system, via a modified transducer cable. During each US frame, a pulse waveform is received from each transducer aperture and stored in a column of a 2D array in the UNT software. These 2D data structures are referred to as ‘FOH frames’, where the \( n^{th} \) column in the array contains a waveform representing the acoustic pulse received from the \( n^{th} \) transducer aperture. Each column therefore relates to a scan-line at a single angle \( \theta \).

B. Tracking

Determination of the needle tip position within a US frame takes place in four stages: FOH waveform pre-processing; FOH frame gating; determination of the needle tip position within the FOH frame; and conversion of the determined position into coordinates within the acquired US frame.

1) FOH waveform pre-processing: The FOH waveforms are pre-processed with a matched filter and an envelope detector to improve the performance of the tracking algorithm. The matched filter was designed to maximise the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), using an approximation of the shape of the received US pulse as a template signal: a Gaussian-windowed sinusoidal pulse at the centre frequency. The length and frequency of the pulse were chosen by examination of the received FOH signals as well as proprietary knowledge provided by GE. A sinusoidal pulse was chosen so that the matched filter would perform equally well across the whole imaging plane, where received pulses have different harmonic content due to non-linear propagation and attenuation. The effect of the matched filter on a representative FOH waveform is shown in Figure 1. The envelope detector was designed to reduce jitter in time-of-flight determination by providing a rectified, single-peak waveform rather than a pulse containing multiple peaks. The envelope detector consists of a rectifier followed by a 3rd-order Butterworth low-pass filter with a cut-off frequency equal to half of the expected centre frequency of the pulse. The effect of the envelope detector on a representative FOH waveform is shown in Figure 1.

2) FOH frame gating: The UNT system was designed to track the needle tip within the US imaging plane, only displaying a cross-hair if the needle tip was deemed to be located within the imaging plane. The two-dimensional nature of the system and tracking algorithm prevents determination of the elevational position of the needle tip; the acoustic pressure and therefore the FOH signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) reduces rapidly as the needle moves away from the imaging plane. The likelihood that the needle tip is in-plane is therefore assessed by the SRN ratio of the pre-processed FOH frames. This required dividing each frame into ‘signal’ and ‘noise’ regions. The ‘signal’ region was taken to be a rectangle around the peak sample in the frame. The size of the region was chosen based on examination of the maximum US beam width in the imaging plane, and the pulse length. The SNR within each waveform is approximated by dividing the peak sample value in the frame by the RMS of the values outside of the rectangular region. FOH frames with an SNR below a threshold value, chosen heuristically, are assumed to have been generated with the needle tip outside of the imaging plane and are therefore discarded.

3) Locating the needle-tip within the FOH frame: The location of the needle tip is first determined within the FOH frame space, in polar coordinates \((r, \theta)\) relative to the centre of curvature of the US probe (see Figure 3). In many cases, this can be estimated simply by taking the \((r, \theta)\) coordinates of the maximum amplitude pixel of the FOH frame. However, in the acoustic near-field this may lead to erroneous localisation due to side-lobes and off-axis maxima. Instead, the angle of the needle tip is found by calculating the energy in each received pulse and then taking the centre-of-mass (CM) of the distribution of energy by angle, as shown in Figure 2. The CM is found after applying a \(-6\) dB threshold to the pulse energy distribution, so that noise does not bias the resulting CM in either angular direction. If the decibel SNR in the distribution is lower than the negated decibel threshold (i.e. 6 dB), then the thresholding fails to prevent CM bias. The SNR is therefore estimated by dividing peak energy by the RMS of the energy values outside of a 13° wide measurement region as shown in Figure 2. The SNR measurement region is centred around the angle with the peak energy, and its width was chosen from observation of FOH frames received across the US imaging plane. If the estimated SNR is too low, then the \( \theta \) coordinate...
of the max amplitude pixel is used instead. The $r$ coordinate is determined from the time-to-peak $t$ of the waveform in the corresponding column of the FOH frame: $r = ct + \rho$ where $c$ is the sound speed assumed by the clinical US system and $\rho$ is the radius of curvature of the probe.

4) Coordinate transform: Polar coordinates $(r, \theta)$ are converted to Cartesian coordinates $(x, y)$ relative to the centre of curvature of the probe: $x = r \cos(\theta)$ and $y = r \sin(\theta)$. In order to plot the resulting Cartesian coordinates onto the US frames, it was necessary to determine the size of the pixels $(\delta x, \delta y)$ of the US frames, and the coordinates of the centre of curvature of the probe within the US frames. These parameters were determined automatically through the acquisition and analysis of a single high-contrast US frame within which the borders of the fan-shaped scan area were visible. The two straight edges of the scan area were parameterised using a straight-line Hough transform, and their intersection taken to be the centre of curvature of the probe. The bottom arc of the scan area was parameterised using a circle Hough transform, and the intersections of the resulting arc with the two straight edges were stored. The distances between the centre of curvature and each of the two intersections were assumed to be equal to the US imaging depth selected on the US system, allowing determination of the pixel size.

C. Software

The tracking algorithm described in II-B was implemented as part of the UNT system software, written in Python (version 3.8). The UNT software is a real-time desktop application that acquires the US and FOH frames, carries out the tracking, superimposes the needle tip position onto the US frame and displays the modified US frame to the user. The software is capable of real-time tracking at the required frame rate of 18 Hz (see III-A). The software has the ability to stream raw FOH and US frames to disk so that they may be used as a data-source at a later date. It also includes a manual labelling feature for marking ‘true’ locations of the needle tips within a previously recorded dataset.

III. TRACKING ACCURACY EXPERIMENT

A. Materials

Tracking accuracy was assessed in a 70×40×40 cm tank filled with room temperature water. The US probe was mounted pointing vertically downwards with only its faced immersed in the water, to a depth of 10 mm. The needle with integrated FOH was held horizontally beneath the probe, with its long axis parallel to the US imaging plane. The relative orientations of the needle and probe were set by observation of the image of the needle generated by the US system. The needle was mounted on a computer-controlled 3-axis motion control system so that the position of the needle tip could be translated within the imaging plane.

The clinical US system was configured as follows: beam angle 55°, imaging depth 30 cm, focal depth 14 cm and acoustic output 98 %. This configuration was chosen based on advice from GE as it provided the simple US transmission sequence described in II-A. The US frame rate was 18 Hz.

B. Data collection

Six datasets were collected with the needle undergoing translation by the motion control system. Each dataset was recorded using the raw data recording feature of the UNT software. During each recording, the needle was translated vertically downwards by 40 mm and then returned to its original position, reaching a maximum speed of 5 mm s⁻¹. The starting positions were set in a grid covering the near-field of the right hand side of the imaging plane, as shown in Figure 3. Each dataset recording took 27 s, and therefore contained approximately 500 FOH frames and 500 US frames.

C. Manual labelling

The ‘true’ position of the needle tip within the US frames of each of the recorded datasets was manually labelled using the UNT software user interface. The true positions labelled in each dataset were then plotted in order to identify the segments of the recordings during which the needle tip was
moving at a constant velocity. The $x$ and $y$ coordinates of these constant-velocity sections were then fitted with straight lines using linear regression, and the accuracy of the manual labelling process was estimated from the mean-square-error (MSE) of the fit. This process is shown in Figure 4.

D. Comparing tracked and labelled positions

Accuracy was assessed during the constant-velocity segments of the recorded datasets: approximately 1000 positions. Vector displacement was calculated between each tracked position and the corresponding true coordinates provided by the linear fits. The mean and standard deviation of displacement in both polar and Cartesian coordinates were then calculated.

IV. RESULTS

The MSE of the linear regressions was $0.4 \pm 0.2$ mm in the $x$ direction and $0.3 \pm 0.1$ mm in the $y$ direction. This was used as a proxy for the variance of manual labelling, indicating its accuracy. The mean and standard deviation of the vector displacement between the tracked and manually labelled positions are shown in Table I in both polar and Cartesian coordinates. The mean and standard deviation of the distance between the tracked and labelled positions is also shown.

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The estimated variance of the manually labelled data was found to be lower than the variance seen in the tracked positions, indicating that the manually labelled data was accurate enough to be used for comparison. No significant bias was found to be present between the tracked and manually labelled positions. The standard deviation of the tracked lateral ($x$) coordinates is more than twice that of the tracked depth ($y$) coordinates. This is reflected in the high standard deviation in the tracked angular ($\theta$) coordinates, which is equivalent to an uncertainty of approximately $\pm 2$ scan lines. This suggests that a major source of angular (and lateral) tracking is quantisation due to scan-line spacing. The standard deviation in the radial direction is approximately equal to the acoustic wavelength, suggesting this as a major source of uncertainty for axial localisation. These results demonstrate that in a homogenous medium in vitro, the UNT system is capable of accurately determining the position of the needle in real-time.
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TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cartesian disp.</th>
<th>Polar disp.</th>
<th>Distance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x = 0.1 \pm 2.1$ mm</td>
<td>$\theta = 0.0 \pm 0.9^\circ$</td>
<td>$0.2 \pm 2.2$ mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y = -0.2 \pm 0.8$ mm</td>
<td>$r = -0.3 \pm 0.8$ mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Plot of the manually labelled and tracked vertical positions from a representative dataset (dataset ‘C’ in Figure 3). The linear fits applied to the manually labelled positions in the constant-velocity sections of the dataset are also shown.